Adopting a more strategic approach to procurement in oil and gas

Short-term cuts can sometimes be penny wise and pound foolish. Long-term improvements require more balanced strategic capabilities.
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Adopting a more strategic approach to procurement in oil and gas

A year after the steep drop in crude prices in 2014, oil and gas companies have made all the easy cuts—the low-hanging fruit is off the tree. Procurement teams across the industry have pushed for price reductions from suppliers, clamped down on discretionary spending and deferred capital expenditures. As oil prices slumped again this year, the industry shelved $200 billion worth of projects to protect dividends.

All of these represent valuable ways to reduce short-term spending but probably are still not enough to make lasting changes. What’s more, in some cases short-term thinking can do long-term damage. For example, maintenance is an easy target for cost reductions, but these cuts are inherently shortsighted. Production losses resulting from equipment failures can hurt cash flow far beyond any savings that may result from maintenance cost cuts.

Now, as the industry faces the likelihood of a long-term low-price environment, procurement teams need to look beyond short-term tactics and take a more proactive and strategic stance within their organizations—for example, working further upstream in project design and collaborating with the engineering and design teams to reduce complexity before it is locked into long-term procurement costs (see Figure 1). Long-term shareholder returns depend on growth and capital efficiency more than paring discretionary costs.

**Three areas where procurement teams must strike the right balance**

Bain’s work with clients identifies three areas where leading procurement teams strike the right balance between short-term cost reduction and long-term optimization (see Figure 2).

---

**Figure 1:** Procurement savings can be substantial, especially for teams that are just beginning to improve their capabilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procurement teams with basic capabilities can reap savings of …</th>
<th>8%–12%</th>
<th>15%–25%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>… while best-in-class procurement teams can still improve …</td>
<td>1%–5%</td>
<td>6%–10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

… depending on whether they apply …

A narrow set of sourcing levers that includes short-term adjustments in price, mix, specs and volume

A full set of sourcing levers that includes price, mix, specs and volume—as well as reducing complexity, increasing transparency and considering total system costs

Source: Bain & Company analysis
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**Figure 2:** Three areas where procurement teams must balance short- and long-term imperatives

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reset supplier relationships</strong></td>
<td>Gain a better understanding of suppliers’ industries and cost structures in order to ensure cost transparency and anticipate supply shocks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Improve capex efficiency</strong></td>
<td>Get involved early in design processes to reduce complexity and subsequent procurement costs. Take advantage of slow cycles to invest in the future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Become more cost-conscious</strong></td>
<td>Develop better transparency so that more people can see how money is being spent. Make purchasing decisions based on total cost of ownership.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reset supplier relationships.** As prices dropped, oil and gas suppliers’ inboxes filled with letters from customers asking for price reductions of as much as a third. These initiatives helped to reclaim some of the margins that had shifted to service providers in the boom times.

But the best buyers also understand their suppliers’ cost structures and strategic importance to their business. They avoid squeezing so hard they put suppliers out of business, which benefits no one, especially where strategic relationships have been carefully cultivated over many years.

Buyers should also try to get a better understanding of suppliers’ costs and the value of the products and services procured. Among the strategies to reduce costs:

- **Aggregate demand.** Combine purchases across the entire portfolio, rather than individual projects, to get bigger volume discounts.
- **Embrace standardization and modularization.** Remind engineers and the front line of the cost advantages of working with existing equipment, especially in lean times. Reuse designs and avoid introducing new complexity.
- **Avoid onerous terms.** When buyers squeeze suppliers on price or other purchasing terms, suppliers have to find ways to mitigate these risks by purchasing insurance or raising prices down the line.
- **Adopt low-cost solutions for shared activities.** Identify areas where buyers and sellers can cooperate to realize joint savings. For example, a helicopter contractor and its oil company client could cooperate to jointly plan their schedules to ensure better utilization of their transport service, reducing costs for both.
Armed with these insights, procurement teams can develop a prioritized action plan that includes negotiations with their largest suppliers, running a sourcing program for the largest and most expensive categories and renegotiating important contracts. Buyers should also be open to bids from competing vendors, as suppliers jockey to gain or maintain share. (See sidebar “A moment of opportunity for oilfield services.”)

**Increase capex efficiency.** Most if not all oil and gas companies have already reviewed their capital spending, deferring or canceling new projects. Long-term capital efficiency will require more extensive changes, including reducing complexity throughout the organization. Strategically minded procurement teams get involved in the early stages of design, when decisions determine long-term costs.

With sector inflation waning, some companies with strong balance sheets may find this the ideal time to accelerate projects, given reduced competition around opportunities and better rates for services and equipment.

**Develop a culture of cost-consciousness.** As cost pressures increase, procurement teams attack discretionary spending with a fine-tooth comb, cutting small luxuries such as coffee machines or canceling training. But these moves usually have little effect on spending, and they can damage morale and weaken capabilities.

A more important role for procurement involves fostering a culture of cost-consciousness so that staff across the organization can make the right decisions for themselves. This begins with systematic cost transparency. The employees who specify a product or service, those who purchase it and those who use it may be different people. This makes purchasing difficult. Better to make sure that all three categories—those who specify, buy and use—stay involved in purchasing decisions and can see and understand the cost and value.

Most procurement teams say that they consider total cost of ownership but often overlook it for short-term savings, especially in lean times. Applying total cost of ownership correctly can be difficult because it requires a holistic view on spending across functions and time. For big projects, getting involved early in front-end engineering design allows procurement to participate in decisions that lock in 80% to 90% of costs.

**Toward a more strategic approach**

Procurement teams can make a more sustainable contribution to their companies’ success by taking a more proactive and strategic approach, which requires building up three critical capabilities.

**Plan for uncertainty.** Given the broad range of outlooks for the sector, a scenario-based approach is the best strategy for dealing with evolving market conditions. Leading procurement teams develop a set of scenarios based on various market conditions. Under each scenario, they determine changes in demand and their appropriate response. They then continuously monitor signposts that indicate the likelihood of the various scenarios materializing and adjust their actions accordingly. For example, in boom times when demand for pipeline materials runs high, it’s important to lock in capacity at mills to ensure a steady supply. When demand slackens, it’s less important to lock in capacity and probably more important to collect bids from prequalified suppliers to increase the speed to market. The procurement team should advise the business on these kinds of challenges. (For more on scenario planning, see “What the recent oil price shock teaches about managing uncertainty.”)

**Strengthen category management.** Procurement teams become more effective as they gain expertise about the industries from which they source. As experts, they should be able to offer deep insights about their suppliers’ businesses, including market size, growth patterns and the market share of competitors. By developing a good understanding of the value drivers in a category, teams learn what products and services should cost and how
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Events will affect their suppliers and costs. Best-in-class category managers should be able to answer questions such as:

- Which suppliers generate superior returns and why?
- Where will consolidation occur, and what role will their suppliers play?
- How will disruptive technologies and changing customer preferences shape the market?

Procurement category managers can improve their capabilities by building bridges with the commercial and engineering groups such as setting up cross-functional teams, sharing information on an online platform or holding focused idea-generating sessions. Investing this way in development benefits the whole company, and the low-cost environment provides a strong motivation for bolstering the procurement team with analytics, commercial and strategic performers.

**Improve ability to detect risk.** Procurement teams can take steps to get better at assessing the risks faced by their suppliers.

- **Audit supplier performance.** An audit can help identify potential performance, financial or capability risks. Frank discussions with suppliers can help procurement understand their position and assess their ability to continue to deliver.

- **Assess midterm market risks.** Looking ahead can help identify potential supply risks from consolidation, regulatory constraints, geopolitical risks or other wild cards.

- **Look after emerging suppliers.** In many countries, contractors select suppliers in line with local content goals. Sometimes contract requirements with national oil companies or other resource owners may entail supporting these suppliers through difficult economic periods.

Near-term projections for the global oil and gas industry continue to forecast increases in supply against weak demand growth. With no sign of a quick return to higher crude prices, it will become increasingly important for procurement teams to step up their game. By becoming more proactive in their counsel on better purchasing behavior, by becoming experts in the industries that supply them and the contracts that manage the value chain, and by advocating reductions in complexity, procurement teams can become one of the most important agents in the industry’s transformation for leaner times.
A moment of opportunity for oilfield services

Oilfield service (OFS) contractors were among the first to feel the pinch of falling oil prices, as producers immediately began asking them to reduce their rates and other costs. Between July 2014 and February 2015, the OFS sector market cap took a hit of more than $100 billion, with some categories proving more resilient than others (see Figure).

At the same time, capable OFS providers see this as a moment of opportunity, as oil and gas producers shop for new suppliers who can meet their needs in a cost-constrained environment. Now is the time to crack open entrenched relationships between existing suppliers and oil companies.

Among the ways to take advantage of the moment:

- **Come up with innovative proposals for service providers and suppliers to work together to reduce total cost of ownership.** These could include point solutions in which the supplier agrees to seamlessly and comprehensively take on a task that would free up resources for the provider—for example, asset integrity testing.

- **Use the price drop as an opportunity to create new services.** Insights gleaned from big data and advanced analytics may be even more valuable in this environment than they were a year earlier if they can help producers operate more efficiently or effectively.

- **Create a platform for fundamental change within the company.** Revenue under pressure can help generate momentum for greater transformation.

On a broader level, OFS providers may also identify opportunities to pick up assets or businesses that oil producers or midstream companies might divest as they rationalize their portfolios.

### Estimated spending in 2015 on upstream oilfield services by major oil companies, by segment, compared with 2013 spending

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>2015 Estimated Spending</th>
<th>2013 Estimated Spending</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subsea equipment and installation</td>
<td>~$200 billion</td>
<td>~$200 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and logistics</td>
<td>~$110 billion</td>
<td>~$110 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance services</td>
<td>~$100 billion</td>
<td>~$100 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations and support services</td>
<td>~$90 billion</td>
<td>~$90 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigs and drilling contractors</td>
<td>~$80 billion</td>
<td>~$80 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drilling tools and commodities</td>
<td>~$70 billion</td>
<td>~$70 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well service</td>
<td>~$50 billion</td>
<td>~$50 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>~$40 billion</td>
<td>~$40 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seismic, geological and geophysical</td>
<td>~$30 billion</td>
<td>~$30 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>~$20 billion</td>
<td>~$20 billion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Includes capital expenses, operating expenses and exploration capital expenses. Bubble size indicates 2013 market volume.

Source: Rystad Energy, July 2015; Bain analysis.
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