Reinventing the Consumer Products Supply Chain

Some companies are avoiding the looming margins crunch by taking a new approach to supply chains.
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At a Glance

- Our proprietary research found that consumer goods companies can expect margins to shrink as much as 30% by 2030, as they deal with major industry disruptions.

- To maintain their margins and prepare for evolving consumer and retailer needs, some companies are upending their traditional view of supply chains.

- The most successful companies take a future-back and unconstrained view, build segmented supply chains and rigorously assess the digital tools that support them.

Consumer goods companies face tremendous disorder. Their consumers are evolving fast, seeking greater convenience, demanding sustainable goods, embracing insurgent brands and private labels. Consumers want more from brands: transparency, traceability, and goods that support their well-being and are customized enough that those products seem to have been made just for them. Their retail customers have evolved, too. They’re grappling with tectonic shifts caused by the surging growth of e-commerce and the prevalence of everyday value. As a result, they fiercely negotiate to maintain already razor-thin margins.

Looking ahead, the traditional value chain in which consumer products companies manufacture goods that retailers sell to consumers could be further turned on its head. Retailers are already moving up and down the value chain, into territory they once actively avoided. Grocers now own manufacturing facilities and have access to world-class comanufacturers to produce sophisticated private labels masquerading as insurgents. They operate farms to grow their own fresh offerings. Also, new channels and intermediaries are emerging at breakneck pace. There’s more competition from direct-to-consumer models and food delivery, for example, and channel fragmentation has enabled consumers to purchase products at their point of need, whether that’s the gym, a hotel or—coming soon—on the street with drone delivery.

This squeeze from both consumers and retailers can take a huge toll on brands that don’t act quickly. Bain & Company estimates consumer goods companies that fail to take adequate steps could lose as much as 30% of their margins by 2030 (see the Bain Brief “Overcoming the Existential Crisis in Consumer Goods”).

Consumer goods companies face tremendous disorder. Their consumers are evolving fast, seeking greater convenience, demanding sustainable goods, embracing insurgent brands and private labels.
Few consumer goods companies have built supply chains that will give them a competitive edge in an era of rising costs and rapid technological advancement.

In many cases, margins are being eroded by rising supply-chain costs. Those costs mount as companies have to serve more channels with distinct requirements, meet retailers’ demands for better service, address consumers’ growing preference for customized products and provide a broader portfolio of channel-specific packaging. In the US, half of those diminishing margins could result from rising supply chain costs. By comparison, only one-sixth of the margin drop will be from the cost pressures of retailer consolidation and one-third from smarter and tougher negotiations (see Figure 1).

Trouble is, few consumer goods companies have built supply chains that will give them a competitive edge in an era of rising costs and rapid technological advancement. Yet those that reinvent their supply chains are reaping the rewards: improvements in efficiency, reliability, agility and velocity

**Figure 1:** Consumer goods companies risk losing as much as 30% of their margins
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that boost margins by 5 to 10 percentage points *(see Figure 2)*. Consider the case of a beverage company, a category leader whose cost position wasn’t significantly better than competitors, which were reducing their costs. Rapid growth had caused the company to expand operations without a streamlined strategy for sourcing, manufacturing and logistics. A supply chain transformation decreased the cost per unit by over 20%, positioning the company as the cost leader while maintaining quality and reducing complexity.

Indeed, some consumer goods companies are getting ahead by reinventing their end-to-end supply chains for the future, from planning to sourcing, manufacturing, footprint, customer service and logistics. They’re creating segmented, modularized and simplified supply chains; installing automated, no-touch planning; building forecasting systems that use machine learning and such industry 4.0 capabilities as next-gen sensors—all while making the most of Agile innovation.

In our view, supply chains should always be designed to align with and serve the corporate strategy. The best companies focus on three elements.

**Take a future-back and unconstrained view.** To systematically prepare for the future while also creating it, we encourage consumer products executives to follow a future-back approach. *Future back* requires assessing possible scenarios that will have the greatest impact on industry dynamics, then

---

**Figure 2:** Supply chain reinvention leads to game-changing results in many areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Efficiency</th>
<th>Reliability</th>
<th>Agility</th>
<th>Velocity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall equipment effectiveness increased</td>
<td>Forecast accuracy improved</td>
<td>Product-development cycle shortened</td>
<td>Supplier lead times reduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%–100%</td>
<td>20%–60%</td>
<td>40%–60%</td>
<td>up to 75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shipping and logistics cost reduced</td>
<td>Perfect order rate increased</td>
<td>Stock-outs decreased</td>
<td>Customer satisfaction improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%–20%</td>
<td>20%–40%</td>
<td>10%–30%</td>
<td>20%–30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Companies increase margins by 5 to 10 points*

Sources: Bain & Company
The best-positioned consumer goods companies use varying business needs as the starting point for creating separate supply chains. They know where they need to be good enough, where they need to be better than competitors and where they need to be truly differentiated.

developing plans around that view of the future. The future scenarios need to account for shifting ecosystems and profit pools, evolving consumer behavior and preferences, disruptive technologies, and new competitors and business models.

With these priorities in mind, companies start to reinvent their supply chain by taking an unconstrained view of what’s possible. We ask executives to take a clean sheet of paper and design a winning supply chain that supports the business strategy and creates competitive advantage—from scratch. Sometimes, operations decision makers are accustomed—and trained—to focus on continuous improvement. But we ask them to do something counterintuitive: step back and draw a visionary picture of how they would imagine an optimal future. Instead of asking them to make incremental steps and consider constraints, we have them envision a scenario in which the company produces its ideal product portfolio with the most collaborative supplier base, employing a perfect labor model and state-of-the-art production equipment, using digital and industry 4.0 capabilities, and delivering via the optimal route to the customer.

We then have them go back and insert the constraints, such as capex limits, management bandwidth or regulatory, stakeholder and community concerns. For example, if they can’t spend the $10 billion required for the perfect scenario but have $1 billion, they readjust the schedule. Companies need to be strategic when deciding which constraints to add back. It’s the first move in creating an achievable plan, and it’s often what separates a winning supply chain from a mediocre one. This process typically sheds new light on how to unlock value, for both supply chain costs and commercial capabilities.

Think segmentation. Every executive knows that all customers, geographies, channels and categories are not equally valuable. The best-positioned consumer goods companies use varying business needs as the starting point for creating separate supply chains. They know where they need to be good enough, where they need to be better than competitors and where they need to be truly differentiated.
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When a global consumer goods company decided to differentiate itself by offering a suite of sustainable products, it carved out a set of supply chains for two dozen sustainable brands that have a lower carbon footprint and create positive social impact. The separate supply chain approach enabled the company to surpass its sustainability targets, reducing its carbon footprint by 15%, while turbocharging growth. Those brands, promoted for their sustainability, are growing 69% faster than the company’s other brands and are generating 75% of its growth. At the same time, the company has reduced costs as much as 20% and surpassed competitors in the ability to innovate quicker and cheaper.

Another consumer goods company pursued a different type of segmented supply chain. It built one chain for its low-margin businesses, designed for efficiency above all, with standardization in sourcing, manufacturing, customer service and logistics. It built another for its high-margin business, which it saw as an important source of growth. For the latter supply chain, the company shifted the focus from efficiency to service levels, delivery speed and flexibility. It knew that new channel dynamics and business models would keep creating new requirements and that digital technologies would keep creating opportunities to step change agility and flexibility.

Some companies take a segmented approach based on three archetypes. “Simple and efficient” supply chains drive the core business and are designed to guarantee the lowest total cost for high volumes at consistent quality. “Managed complexity” supply chains can customize or add options, balancing cost with best-in-class reliability. “Agile and adaptive” supply chains spur disruptive growth, rapidly fulfilling new or unexpected customer requests.

Using this three-archetype approach, a food company laid out a plan to apportion its manufacturing capabilities among three plants. Scale plants will produce large batches and offer flexibility on only a few specific dimensions; flex plants will accommodate short batches and short lead times; and pilot plants will manufacture microbatches of products for market testing.
prior to launch. Combined with a new emphasis on contract manufacturing for commodity products, late differentiated capabilities in distribution centers and modular logistics solutions such as full pallets to unit picking, the company is positioning itself to leapfrog the competition. It will innovate quicker and cheaper, enabling its growth strategy. The company expects to reduce supply chain costs by 10% to 20% and its carbon footprint by 15%.

Use digital tools strategically. Some companies move too quickly—or too slowly—to adopt digital tools. The best companies rigorously assess their digital capabilities and how they’ll help the company deliver its unique strategy. They recognize the difference among technologies that are ready to be deployed at scale, those that should be piloted first and those that just need more time to mature before any use. The goal is to use digital capabilities selectively to operate plants more effectively—to squeeze more out of existing assets instead of rebuilding.

The best digital adopters use advanced analytics to improve logistics and demand planning. They also can rely on control towers to discover supply chain incidents before the issues show up in a KPI report. That means everything from shipped materials that don’t arrive on time, to incomplete production orders, to inventory gaps that result in delayed orders. However, while control towers detect these issues, companies also need a mitigation team that, as part of the workflow system, is ready to take the appropriate action, by releasing inventory earlier than planned, for example.

The beverage company mentioned earlier identified opportunities to use digital enablers to automate processes in specific plants. Then it directed production for the highest-performing SKUs to those plants, which were the company’s most efficient. The company also maximized scale advantages with key suppliers and began relying on advanced analytics for planning, a move that boosted its ability to forecast consumption. The company could account for a much larger range of variables than before, vastly improving accuracy. In addition to its new status as the cost leader, the beverage company is now much better positioned to quickly serve customer needs—both today and as they evolve in the years ahead.
As consumer goods companies transform their supply chains to lessen the impact of margin pressures and assess how their supply chains are matched to business strategy in an era of disruption, the most successful will focus on these three elements. They’ll take an unconstrained future-back approach, segment supply chains and be strategic about deploying digital tools—a proven way for consumer goods companies to march more confidently into the future.
Bold ideas. Bold teams. Extraordinary results.
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